Course Syllabus

| Title of the course | Presentation and Visua | llization | |
|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| Title of the Academic Programme | All Master Program | | |
| Type of the course | Elective | | |
| Prerequisites | - | | |
| ECTS workload | 3 Credits | | |
| Total indicative study hours | Directed Study | Self-directed study | Total |
| | 32 | 82 | 114 |
| Course Overview | The course is dedicated to developing presentation and visualization skills. Ability to make good and clear presentation is currently considered as a crucial soft skill both within academia and business. The course is aimed at developing the skills by providing theoretical background on presentations and opportunities to practice with real-life tasks and challenges. The course will cover substantial, social, psychological and technical features of making presentation. Specific focus will be put on visualization of ideas and data which might be useful for presentations but also will go far beyond presentational framework. | | rrently considered as a ss. The course is aimed etical background on the real-life tasks and etial, psychological and ac focus will be put on |
| Intended Learning Outcomes (ILO) | Students are able to critically assess their own and other peoples' presentations Students are able to analyze audience, purposes and outcomes of their presentation Students are able to improve their presentations based on the content of the course Students know major techniques of creating presentations Students know major graphical formats and understand their pros and cons Students know different formats of data visualization Students are able to recreate different formats of data visualization based on their own data and interests Students knows major techniques in overcoming psychological issues in giving talks and presentations | | oses and outcomes of ions based on the g presentations I understand their prosultization ats of data visualization |
| Teaching and Learning Methods | 16 lectures + 16 seminar | s, video materials, peer-ass | sessment |

Content and Structure of the Course

| № | Topic / Course Chapter | Total | Directed Study | | Self-directed |
|---|--|-------|----------------|-----------|---------------|
| | | | Lectures | Tutorials | Study |
| 1 | Introduction to public speech | 14 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| 2 | Psychological issues in giving presentations | 16 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
| 3 | Charts: bad and good | 16 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
| 4 | Storytelling | 14 | 2 | 2 | 10 |

| 5 | Technical details of graphics and fonts | | 14 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| 6 | Presentation software: powerpoint, prezi, latex | | 14 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| 7 | Place, time, audience | 2 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| 8 | Popular science: how research to general a | • | 14 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| Total study | hours | | 114 | 16 | 16 | 82 |
| and Strateg | Assessment Methods The system of the system | home-tasks (40% In-class discussi activity and subst Home-tasks: | hort quizzes to ascepts. sentation on the reson selected technology. P. (2016). s, Ceremonies, and yes-Morris, P. (2033. cology: The art and Sebastopol, CA: Gate: Present visual | ments (10%) ated during son to the class talk talk talk talk talk talk talk talk | echniques concle course) s' knowledge of interest of i | at C. 417-421. udiences. – ght possible 152. ersuasion our story. FT e & Business |
| Indicative S | Self- Study Strategies | | Type | | +/- | Hours |
| | | _ | nars / tutorials (le tory and optional | | + | 20 |

| | Assignments for seminars / tutorials / labs | + | 20 |
|------------------------------------|---|---|----|
| | E-learning / distance learning (MOOC / LMS) | - | |
| | Fieldwork | - | |
| | Project work | + | 22 |
| | Other (please specify) | - | |
| | Preparation for the exam | + | 20 |
| Academic Support for the Course | Academic support for the course is provided via LMS, where students can find: guidelines and recommendations for doing the course; guidelines and recommendations for self-study; samples of assessment materials | | |
| Facilities, Equipment and Software | Computer (notebook) with Internet access; projector; white board | | |
| Course Instructor | Olesya Volchenko | | |
| | | | |

Intended Learning Outcomes (ILO) Delivering

| Programme ILO(s) | Course ILO(s) | Teaching and Learning Methods for delivering ILO(s) | Indicative Assessment Methods of Delivered ILO(s) |
|---|---|--|--|
| Students are able to reflect (assess and develop) on learnt scientific methods and activities (Способен рефлексировать (оценивать и перерабатывать) освоенные научные методы и способы деятельности) | Students are able to critically assess their own and other peoples' presentations Students are able to analyze audience, purposes and outcomes of their presentation Students are able to improve their presentations based on the content of the course Students are able to recreate different formats of data visualization based on their own data and interests | Lectures, interactive seminars, analysis of existing presentations and videos (i.e. TED Talks) | Final exam presentation and essay; essay on selected TED talk; Improvement of a bad slide; short presentations |
| Students know how to organize communication process and able to manage it. (Способен организовать многостороннюю коммуникацию и управлять ею) | Students know major techniques of creating presentation Students know major graphical formats and understand their pros and cons Students know different formats of data visualization Students knows major techniques in overcoming psychological issues in giving talks and presentation | Lecture, home reading, interactive seminars. | Final exam presentation and essay; Quiz, Improvement of a bad slide; short presentations |

Course Content

Introduction to public speaking

- General idea of public speaking
- Why should we do public speeches?
- Aims of public speeches
- Types of speakers
- Types of speeches
- Presentation check-list

Psychological issues in giving presentations

- Who is your audience?
- Major issues in giving talks
- Tips and tricks in overcoming psychological issues

Charts: bad and good

- Types of charts
- Titles and text
- Composition, time, relationships and space
- Colors

Storytelling

- What is storytelling
- Examples of good storytelling
- How to create a story?
- How to use a story in your presentation?

Technical details of graphics and fonts

- Major graphical formats
- Vector vs. Raster
- Types of fonts
- Licenses for fonts and images

Presentation software: powerpoint, prezi, latex

- Powerpointless
- Alternatives to PowerPoint
- Prezi: why and when
- Latex, beamer: why and when

Place, time, audience

- Organization of your presentation
- How to measure time during your presentation?
- How to adapt during your presentation?

Popular science: how to deliver your research to general audience

- Techniques to persuade your audience
- Translation from scientific language
- Dealing with questions

Assessment Methods

| Types of Assessment | Forms of Assessment | Modules | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------|---|---|---|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Formative Assessment | Test | | | * | |
| | Essay | | | * | |
| | Report/Presentation | | | * | |
| | Project | | | * | |
| | In-class Participation | | | * | |
| Summative Assessment | Exam | | | * | |

Assessment Criteria

In-class Participation

| Grades | Assessment Criteria |
|----------------------|--|
| «Excellent» (8-10) | Ability to provide useful comment and thought-provoking question, valuable contribution to the discussion. A critical analysis which demonstrates original thinking and shows strong evidence of preparatory research and broad background knowledge. Excellent oral expression. |
| «Good» (6-7) | Ability to provide useful comment and thought-provoking question, valuable contribution to the discussion. |
| «Satisfactory» (4-5) | Questions and comments showing only rudimentary knowledge on the topic |
| «Fail» (0-2) | No class participation/ arbitrary comments / trivial questions. |

TED talk essay

| Grades | Assessment Criteria |
|----------------------|--|
| «Excellent» (8-10) | A well-structured essays showing ability to summarize video talk. Student provides critical points and reflects how the content of the course corresponds to selected talk. Student clearly develops his/her argument, the text is well-structured and clearly-written. |
| «Good» (6-7) | A well-structured essays showing ability to summarize video talk, however, the essay lacks critical points and reflects how the content of the course corresponds to selected talk. Student develops his/her argument with minor flaws, the text is well-structured and clearly-written. |
| «Satisfactory» (4-5) | An essay has obvious flaws in its structure, only main features of the video are summarized without any mistakes. The essay lacks critical points, but clearly reflects how the content of the course corresponds to selected talk. Student develops his/her argument with flaws, the text is well-structured and clearly-written. |
| «Fail» (0-2) | Fails to demonstrate skills in summarizing and assessing video talk. |

Quiz

| Grades | Assessment Criteria |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| «Excellent» (8-10) | 85 – 100 % of correct answers |
| «Good» (6-7) | 65 – 84 % of correct answers |
| «Satisfactory» (4-5) | 45 – 64 % of correct answers |
| «Fail» (0-2) | 0 – 44 % of correct answers |

Improvement of a bad slide

| Grades | Assessment Criteria |
|--------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| «Excellent» (8-10) | Student reframed content of the slide for better delivery. Both conceptual and visual components have been significantly improved. |

| «Good» (6-7) | Student reframed content of the slide for better delivery. Conceptual component have been partly improved, visual components have been significantly improved. |
|----------------------|--|
| «Satisfactory» (4-5) | Only visual issues have been fixed. |
| «Fail» (0-2) | Student failed to improve the slide. |

Final exam

| Grades | Assessment Criteria |
|----------------------|--|
| | |
| «Excellent» (8-10) | Student is able to deliver a clear presentation and analyze it according to course content. |
| «Good» (6-7) | Student is able to deliver a clear presentation but only partly analyze it according to course content. |
| «Satisfactory» (4-5) | Student is able to deliver a decent presentation and only partly analyze it according to course content. |
| «Fail» (0-2) | Student failed to deliver a decent presentation and analyze it according to course content. |